

DECISION-MAKER:	CABINET
SUBJECT:	COURT LEET PRESENTMENTS 2012
DATE OF DECISION:	13 NOVEMBER 2012
REPORT OF:	HEAD OF LEGAL, HR AND DEMOCRATIC SERVICES
STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY	
Not applicable	

BRIEF SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to bring to the Executive's attention the Presentments accepted by Court Leet, the action taken to date and to identify Lead Officers and Members for future actions.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- (i) That the initial officer responses to the Presentments approved by the Court Leet Jury as set out in Appendix 1 to the report be noted; and
- (ii) That individual Cabinet Members ensure that responses are made to Presenters regarding presentments within their portfolios as appropriate and as soon as practically possible.

REASONS FOR REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The Executive has agreed that Court Leet Presentments will be reported to the Executive for consideration and ultimately determination.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

2. The decision was previously made by the Executive to proceed in this manner; therefore, this is the only approach considered appropriate.

DETAIL (Including consultation carried out)

3. Appendix 1 lays out in brief the Presentments received by Court Leet on 4th October 2011 with details of Lead Officers and Cabinet Members responsible, together with an initial response to each of the Presentments.
4. The Presentments, once received, have been shared with Lead Officers and Lead Members; responses (and any action required) will be subject to the Council's normal decision-making processes and therefore, consultation at this time.

RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

Capital/Revenue

5. None.

Property/Other

6. None.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report:

7. Court Leet is maintained as a valid Court Leet, but only for purpose of taking Presentments on matters of local concern under the Administration of Justice Act 1977. Any proposals to implement any Presentments will be considered in due course by the appropriate decision-maker, and at that point legal issues will be taken into account.

Other Legal Implications:

8. None.

POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS

9. None at this stage, but as stated above, any proposals that are considered for implementation will be considered in the context of, inter alia, Policy Framework implications.

AUTHOR:	Name:	Judy Cordell	Tel:	023 8083 2766
	E-mail:	Judy.cordell@southampton.gov.uk		

KEY DECISION? Yes/No No

WARDS/COMMUNITIES AFFECTED:	Potentially all.
------------------------------------	------------------

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Appendices

1.	Summary of Presentments and details of Lead Officers and Members Responsibility and Initial Response of Presentments.
----	---

Documents In Members' Rooms

1.	None.
----	-------

Integrated Impact Assessment

Do the implications/subject of the report require an Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) to be carried out.	No
--	----

Other Background Documents

Integrated Impact Assessment and Other Background documents available for inspection at: Not applicable.

Title of Background Paper(s) Relevant Paragraph of the Access to Information Procedure Rules / Schedule 12A allowing document to be Exempt/Confidential (if applicable)

1.	Not applicable.	
----	-----------------	--